arXiv:1212.6705v2 [quant-ph] 9 Jan 2013

USTC-ICTS-12-16

Non-Hermitian quantum mechanics viewed from quantum mechanics

Yan-Gang Miao[1,2,*] and Zhen-Ming Xu[1]

[1]*School of Physics, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071,*
People's Republic of China

[2]*Interdisciplinary Center for Theoretical Study,*
University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026,
People's Republic of China

Abstract

Real eigenvalues of some non-Hermitian (pseudo-Hermitian or PT-symmetric) Hamiltonians can be determined by solving operator quantum equations of motion rather than Schrödinger equations within the framework of quantum mechanics. This method is in particular applicable for the class of models which are closely related to the harmonic oscillator. In this way, a new application of quantum mechanics is thus given.

PACS Number(s): 03.65.-w, 03.65.Ge, 03.65.Ta

Keywords: Non-Hermitian quantum mechanics, operator quantum equation of motion, real eigenvalue

---

[*] *Corresponding author. E-mail: miaoyg@nankai.edu.cn*

1 Introduction

It is well known that the operators of physical observables are required to be Hermitian (self-adjoint) in quantum mechanics. However, the Hermiticity is relaxed to be η-pseudo-Hermiticity or PT symmetry in non-Hermitian quantum mechanics, where η is a linear Hermitian or an anti-linear anti-Hermitian operator, and P and T stand for the parity and time-reversal operators, respectively. Being traced back to the work by Dirac [1] and Pauli [2], the theories of non-Hermitian quantum mechanics have been developed [3] quickly in recent decades.

Normally, a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian should be dealt with in terms of non-Hermitian quantum mechanics, such as the pseudo-Hermitian and PT-symmetric quantum theories. One typical way is to change a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian to its Hermitian (isospectral) counterpart by a non-unitary similarity transformation. The situation is that such a treatment associated with the introductions of new concepts and techniques is complicated, which can be seen, for instance, in the investigations of the Swanson model [4] and Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator model [5, 6].

Nonetheless, we argue that whether quantum mechanics is incapable of action for all non-Hermitian quantum systems, or in other words, whether some non-Hermitian Hamiltonians can be dealt with within the framework of quantum mechanics theory. Our motivation is to expose under quantum mechanics instead of non-Hermitian quantum mechanics the intrinsic real eigenvalues for some non-Hermitian quantum systems that are closely related to the harmonic oscillator. The reason is that quantum mechanics is more fundamental and concise than non-Hermitian quantum mechanics, and in particular that we intend to search for new applications of quantum mechanics. Our idea can be realized by solving the operator equations of motion rather than the Schrödinger equations for non-Hermitian quantum dynamical systems. It is interesting that quantum mechanics is still alive even to some extent to non-Hermitian quantum systems.

This paper is arranged as follows. In the next two sections, the Swanson model and Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator model are chosen as our examples, and their energy spectra are calculated under quantum mechanics. The reason for the choice is that both models are prototypes [4, 5, 6] in non-Hermitian quantum mechanics and will be shown to be prototypes in the new application of quantum mechanics. Our motivation will be well actualized through deriving the operator equations of motion of the two models and analyzing their solutions in the coordinate representation of quantum mechanics. At last, a brief summary is made in section 4.

2 Swanson model

The Hamiltonian of the Swanson model [4] takes the form,

$$H = \frac{1}{2m}p^2 + \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2 x^2 + i\frac{c}{2}\left(px + xp\right), \tag{1}$$

where m and ω are the mass and angular frequency of harmonic oscillators, respectively, and c is a real constant with the dimension of inverse time. (x, p) is a pair of canonical coordinate and momentum that satisfies the Heisenberg commutation relations,

$$[x, p] = i\hbar, \qquad [x, x] = 0 = [p, p]. \tag{2}$$

The model is constructed by adding the imaginary interacting term $i\frac{c}{2}(px + xp)$ to the Hamiltonian of the harmonic oscillator with the angular frequency ω, where c may be understood as the coupling constant. Obviously, this Hamiltonian is non-Hermitian, $H^\dagger \neq H$, but PT-symmetric,

$$H = H^{\mathrm{PT}} := (PT)^{-1}H(PT), \tag{3}$$

where the conventional definitions of the parity P and time-reversal T transformations are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} P: &\qquad x \to -x, \qquad p \to -p, \qquad i \to +i; \\ T: &\qquad x \to +x, \qquad p \to -p, \qquad i \to -i. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Instead of solving the Schrödinger equation for the Hamiltonian eq. (1), we derive the operator quantum equations of motion for the pair of phase space variables (x, p). Making use of the Hamilton equations,

$$\dot{x} = \frac{i}{\hbar}[H, x], \qquad \dot{p} = \frac{i}{\hbar}[H, p], \tag{5}$$

we have

$$\dot{x} = \frac{p}{m} + icx, \qquad \dot{p} = -m\omega^2 x - icp. \tag{6}$$

Eliminating the momentum operator p in eq. (6), we obtain the quantum equation of motion for the coordinate operator x,

$$\ddot{x} + \left(\omega^2 + c^2\right)x = 0. \tag{7}$$

This is nothing but the quantum equation of motion for the harmonic oscillator with the angular frequency $\sqrt{\omega^2 + c^2}$. As a result, we claim that the effect of the imaginary interaction in the Swanson Hamiltonian eq. (1) is only to shift the angular frequency from ω to $\sqrt{\omega^2 + c^2}$ without changing the inherent property of the original harmonic oscillator.

We conclude that the Swanson model depicted by eq. (1) is equivalent to the harmonic oscillator with the angular frequency $\sqrt{\omega^2+c^2}$ and thus it definitely has real eigenvalues. By simply using the textbook knowledge of quantum mechanics, we at once write the eigenvalues for the Swanson model,

$$E_n = \hbar\sqrt{\omega^2+c^2}\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right), \qquad n=0,1,2,\cdots. \tag{8}$$

We may say that the appearance of imaginary interactions is not so severe as was thought before.

We make several comments on our treatment to the Swanson model. The first is that our analysis is independent of the PT symmetry of the model,[1] however, such a symmetry is crucial in non-Hermitian PT-symmetric quantum mechanics [3, 4]. The second is that we can easily write a Hermitian Hamiltonian,

$$H' = \frac{1}{2m}p^2 + \frac{1}{2}m\left(\omega^2+c^2\right)x^2, \tag{9}$$

which also describes the Swanson model with the same energy spectrum as eq. (8). As an example, we see that the quantum equation of motion (eq. (7)) is unique while the Hamiltonians (eq. (1) and eq. (9)) are not for the Swanson model. This is understandable because the equation of motion plays a more unambiguous role than its relevant Lagrangian or Hamiltonian for a dynamical system. The final comment is that our treatment is simple and explicit if compared with the typical way in non-Hermitian quantum mechanics where a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian is changed into its Hermitian (isospectral) counterpart by a non-unitary similarity transformation. We emphasize in particular that our analysis is not involved with any theories of non-Hermitian quantum mechanics.

3 Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator model

There are various forms of the Hamiltonian of the Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator model [5], among which a PT-symmetric Hamiltonian and a PT-pseudo-Hermitian one are worthy mentioning. The purpose to pick out the different forms is to explicitly show our finding which is natural under quantum mechanics but seems to be inaccessible under non-Hermitian quantum mechanics: our method is independent of the PT symmetry or PT-pseudo Hermiticity of Hamiltonians, but is closely related to an invariance of parameter exchange originated from operator quantum equations of motion. Such an invariane plays a crucial role in determination of the positive definite spectrum of the model, which will particularly be emphasized in the following analysis.

---

[1] A brief explanation will be given in the last section.

The PT-symmetric Hamiltonian given in ref. [6] has the form,

$$H_{\rm I}=\frac{p_1^2}{2\gamma}-ip_2x_1+\frac{1}{2}\gamma\left({\omega_1}^2+{\omega_2}^2\right)x_1^2+\frac{1}{2}\gamma{\omega_1}^2{\omega_2}^2x_2^2, \tag{10}$$

where γ, ω_1 and ω_2 are all positive constants, and (x_j,p_j), $j=1,2$, are two pairs of canonical coordinates and momenta that satisfy the Heisenberg commutation relations,

$$[x_j,p_k]=i\hbar\delta_{jk},\qquad [x_j,x_k]=0=[p_j,p_k],\qquad j,k=1,2. \tag{11}$$

$H_{\rm I}$ possesses a kind of PT symmetry, $H_{\rm I}=H_{\rm I}^{\rm PT}:=(PT)^{-1}H_{\rm I}(PT)$, under the conventional P and T transformations of (x_1,p_1) (see eq. (4)) and the unconventional ones[2] of (x_2,p_2). It is constructed with great efforts by determining no classical limits for the quantum Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator with positive spectra and performing an (isospectral) operator similarity transformation, see ref. [6] for the details.

The PT-pseudo-Hermitian Hamiltonian proposed in ref. [7] takes the form,

$$H_{\rm II}=\frac{p_1^2}{2m}+\frac{1}{2}ma_1^2x_1^2+\frac{p_2^2}{2m}+\frac{1}{2}ma_2^2x_2^2+i\frac{a_3}{2ma_1a_2}p_1p_2, \tag{12}$$

which is constructed by adding an imaginary interacting term proportional to ip_1p_2 to the Hamiltonian of a free anisotropic planar oscillator, where m is the mass of the two-dimensional oscillator, and a_1, a_2, and a_3 are non-vanishing real constants with the anisotropic condition $a_1\neq a_2$. $H_{\rm II}$ is PT-pseudo-Hermitian self-adjoint, $H_{\rm II}=H_{\rm II}^{\ddagger}:=(PT)^{-1}H_{\rm II}^{\dagger}(PT)$, under the conventional parity and time-reversal transformations in each dimension (eq. (4)), as shown in ref. [7].

No matter how different they are in the aspects of formulation and symmetry, the two Hamiltonians $H_{\rm I}$ and $H_{\rm II}$, in accordance with the Hamilton equations, correspond to the same operator quantum equation of motion, i.e. the following fourth-order ordinary differential equation (ODE),

$$\frac{d^4x_j}{dt^4}+\left(\omega_1^2+\omega_2^2\right)\frac{d^2x_j}{dt^2}+\omega_1^2\omega_2^2x_j=0,\qquad j=1,2, \tag{13}$$

where for $H_{\rm II}$ the positive and unequal parameters ω_1 and ω_2 are defined under the inequality, $|a_3|<|a_1^2-a_2^2|$, to be

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_1 &:= \sqrt{\frac{a_1^2+a_2^2\pm\sqrt{\left(a_1^2-a_2^2\right)^2-a_3^2}}{2}},\\ \omega_2 &:= \sqrt{\frac{a_1^2+a_2^2\mp\sqrt{\left(a_1^2-a_2^2\right)^2-a_3^2}}{2}}.\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

---

[2] As explained in ref. [6], x_2 and p_2 transform, in the language of quantum field theory, as parity scalars instead of pseudo-scalars, i.e., $P: x_2\rightarrow +x_2$, $p_2\rightarrow +p_2$; $T: x_2\rightarrow -x_2$, $p_2\rightarrow +p_2$.

Now we solve this ODE in the coordinate representation of quantum mechanics. The characteristic equation has four imaginary roots that constitute two pairs of complex conjugate numbers, i.e. $\pm i\omega_1$ and $\pm i\omega_2$, which implies that the particular solutions proportional to $\exp(\pm i\omega_1 t)$ and $\exp(\pm i\omega_2 t)$, respectively, describe two harmonic oscillators with frequencies ω_1 and ω_2. Correspondingly, their energy spectra are already known,

$$E_1 = \hbar\omega_1\left(n_1+\frac{1}{2}\right), \qquad E_2 = \hbar\omega_2\left(n_2+\frac{1}{2}\right), \qquad n_1, n_2 = 0, 1, 2, \cdots. \tag{15}$$

As a result, the solution of the fourth-order operator quantum ODE is the linear combination of the particular solutions. However, the total energy spectrum is undetermined yet, that is, there are three possible cases of combination,[3] $E_1 + E_2$, $E_1 - E_2$, and $-E_1 + E_2$.

Despite various elaborate approaches, in particular the (isospectral) operator similarity transformation [6], proposed in non-Hermitian quantum mechanics for getting rid of the cases associated with negative probability or ghost states, we simply consider the exchange invariance of the fourth-order ODE with respect to the frequencies ω_1 and ω_2.[4] In light of the property[5] that the invariance of parameter exchange existed in an operator is maintained in the operator's eigenvalues, the positive definite spectrum $E_1 + E_2$ is thus picked out straightforwardly because $E_1 - E_2$ and $-E_1 + E_2$ evidently have no such an invariance individually.

We can make similar comments to that given in the above section. In order to avoid repetition, we only mention that our treatment, unlike ref. [6] where the PT symmetry of $H_{\rm I}$ is crucial, has nothing to do with such a symmetry and that it does not depend on the PT-pseudo Hermiticity of $H_{\rm II}$, either. Instead, the invariance of parameter exchange plays a crucial role in determining the positive definite spectrum. Note that the PT symmetry or the PT-pseudo Hermiticity is completely different from the invariance of parameter exchange, the former is of external (spacetime) transformation while the latter of internal (parameter) transformation. As a whole, our analysis of the Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator model is based only on quantum mechanics.

---

[3] The negative definite case, $-E_1 - E_2$, can be omitted directly due to the positive definite Hermitian parts in $H_{\rm I}$ and $H_{\rm II}$. In addition, the linear combination of particular solutions does not mean the linear combination of eigenfunctions, it only means that the Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator model consists of two harmonic oscillators with angular frequencies ω_1 and ω_2.

[4] This invariance also exists in $H_{\rm I}$ and $H_{\rm II}$, which originates from the operator quantum equation of motion (eq. (13)). Although it is not manifest in $H_{\rm II}$, the invariance presents whenever the upper sign ($\omega_1 > \omega_2$) or lower sign ($\omega_1 < \omega_2$) is taken in eq. (14).

[5] If an operator $O(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$, regardless of its Hermiticity or non-Hermiticity, is invariant under exchange of two parameters α_1 and α_2, a linear and invertible operator S that represents the action of exchange can be introduced and there exists the relation: $SO(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)S^{-1} = O(\alpha_2, \alpha_1) = O(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$, where $S^2 = 1$. Suppose the eigenvalue problem of $O(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$: $O(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)f = \lambda(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)f$, one can prove $O(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)(Sf) = \lambda(\alpha_2, \alpha_1)(Sf)$. Due to $[O(\alpha_1, \alpha_2), S] = 0$, the two operators have same eigenfunctions in general, the so-called S symmetry is unbroken, which leads to $Sf = \pm f$. Therefore, one obtains $\lambda(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = \lambda(\alpha_2, \alpha_1)$, which implies that the invariance of parameter exchange also exists in the eigenvalues of the operator $O(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$.

4 Summary

We find that quantum mechanics is capable of working for some non-Hermitian quantum systems, which gives a new application of quantum mechanics. We take two models that are prototypes in non-Hermitian quantum mechanics as our examples, one is the Swanson model and the other Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator model which are now shown to be prototypes in the new application of quantum mechanics. Our technique is to focus on operator quantum equation of motion without (in the Swanson model) or with (in the Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator model) its relevant invariance under parameter exchange, thus it is able to circumvent in some sense non-Hermiticity (PT symmetry or PT-pseudo Hermiticity) of Hamiltonians. Our analysis, in which to solve quantum equations of motion looks more or less like classical mechanics, works surprisingly for non-Hermitian quantum systems.

Although we just deal with the two models that are closely related to the harmonic oscillator, our analysis can be applied to other non-Hermitian quantum models under the prerequisites: (i) those models have real spectra, and (ii) they can be decomposed into simpler models whose spectra are known or easily obtained. In fact, the operator equations of motion of non-Hermitian quantum systems and their solutions already contain the relevant information on the prerequisites. The remaining challenge is how to pick out it.

Acknowledgments

Y-GM would like to thank J.-X. Lu for warm hospitality when he visited the Interdisciplinary Center for Theoretical Study (ICTS), University of Science and Technology of China (USTC). He would also like to thank Z. Song of School of Physics, Nankai University for helpful discussions. This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under grant No.11175090, by the Ministry of Education of China under grant No.20120031110027, and by the National Science Fund for Talent Training in Basic Sciences under grant No.J1103208.

References

[1] P.A.M. Dirac, *The physical interpretation of quantum mechanics*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. **A 180** (1942) 1.

[2] W. Pauli, *On Dirac's new method of field quantization*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **15** (1943) 175.

[3] Many people have contributions. For brevity of citations, we refer to recent reviews and references therein.
C.M. Bender, *Making sense of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians*, Rep. Prog. Phys. **70** (2007) 947 [arXiv:hep-th/0703096];
A. Mostafazadeh, *Pseudo-Hermitian representation of quantum mechanics*, Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. **7** (2010) 1191 [arXiv:0810.5643[quant-ph]].

[4] M.S. Swanson, *Transition elements for a non-Hermitian quadratic Hamiltonian*, J. Math. Phys. **45** (2004) 585;
C.M. Bender and H.F. Jones, *Interactions of Hermitian and non-Hermitian Hamiltonians*, J. Phys. A **41** (2008) 244006 [arXiv:0709.3605[hep-th]].

[5] A. Pais and G.E. Uhlenbeck, *On field theories with non-localized action*, Phys. Rev. **79** (1950) 145.

[6] C.M. Bender and P.D. Mannheim, *No-ghost theorem for the fourth-order derivative Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator model*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100** (2008) 110402 [arXiv:0706.0207[hep-th]].

[7] J.-Q. Li and Y.-G. Miao, *Spontaneous Breaking of Permutation Symmetry in Pseudo-Hermitian Quantum Mechanics*, Phys. Rev. A **85** (2012) 042110 [arXiv:1110.2312[quant-ph]].